



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

on the recovery of wagers by a party who "repents" before the event happens and demands back his wager. Under such circumstances, where the betting is not a crime, the repentant party may maintain an action to recover the money.¹¹ Since the effect of the various subdivisions of the statute is to make all betting where a stakeholder is employed a crime, and in other cases to prohibit betting in all but the comparatively few instances mentioned above, the result will be a great decrease in the number of cases in which the Court will aid a repentant party in the recovery of his wager.

T. J. L.

Criminal Law: Jeopardy; Illness of Judge.—When a judge is taken ill during the trial of a criminal case and becomes unable to proceed, the usual course is to discharge the jury. The defendant can be tried again, since the failure to return a verdict in the former trial is due to an unavoidable cause.¹ If, however, on the illness of a judge, the defendant is willing to have another judge called in and proceed with the same trial, there is no reason why this course should not be pursued. In fact unless the record shows that the defendant objected to the substitution of judges, error will not be presumed.² It is true that the judge who is called in after the trial has progressed for some time is not in such a good position to rule on the evidence or pass on the motion for a new trial, but these difficulties may be obviated to a certain extent by recalling the witnesses or reading the testimony to the judge. As a choice of evils a continuation of the trial before the same jury and another judge would seem in general preferable to a new trial. So it must have appeared to the legislature when in 1911 it provided for the substitution of a judge even without the defendant's consent.³ The statute, however, is clumsily worded and in counties where there is more than one judge, seems to require the calling in of a judge from the same county. In the principal case⁴ on the illness of the judge presiding at the trial in the City and County of San Francisco the parties stipulated to call in a judge from another county. It was properly held that the compulsory procedure of section 1053 of the Penal Code did not prevent the parties from following a different method by stipulation.

A. M. K.

¹¹ *Gridley v. Dorn*, (1880) 57 Cal. 78; *Schenck v. Hirshfeld*, (September 19, 1913) 17 Cal. App. Dec. 286.

¹ *Nugent v. State*, (1833) 4 Stewart & Porter 72, 24 Am. D. 746; *State v. Ulrich*, (1892) 110 Mo. 350 19 S. W. 656.

² *People v. Henderson*, (1865) 28 Cal. 465; *People v. Casselman*, (1909) 10 Cal. App. 234, 101 Pac. 693. See also *People v. Eckert*, (1860) 16 Cal. 111; *People v. Hobson*, (1861) 17 Cal. 424.

³ California Penal Code, section 1053.

⁴ *People v. Lichtenstein*, (3rd App. Dist. Aug. 18, 1913) 17 Cal. App. Dec. 187; rehearing in Supreme Court denied Oct. 17, 1913.